

REMARKS

This is a response to the Office Action of December 16, 2004. Claims 1 – 19 are pending in the application. Claims 1-19 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over published application of Joao. The applicant amended Claims 1, 4, 15 and 18 to better define the present invention. It is believed that the amended Claims are now in condition for allowance as distinguishing over Joao.

The extremely voluminous single cited reference fails to disclose the features of the instant application as are now claimed in the above-presented amendment. The main goal of Joao is to provide an anti-theft system, which would allow a user to detect unauthorized access to the vehicle vital systems.



The present invention is a multi-task system, which allows the user to access the Internet from the interior of the vehicle, receive satellite communications without interfering with the user's cellular phone, receive satellite radio signals, as well as provide live streaming from a moving vehicle. The Internet access is facilitated through the provision of the monitor, keyboard and mouse associated with the main computer. A careful review of paragraph [0184] of Joao did not reveal connection of the main CPU to an audio communication device. Further, the features of Claims 10-11, 13 and 14 are not disclosed, taught or suggested by Joao.

The statement of the Examiner that such features "would have been well-know" is not supported by a published document and is not supported by Examiner's Affidavit as required by the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure. For these reasons, no prima facie case of obviousness of these claims has been established.

The system of the instant invention provides a workable, industrially applicable system, as opposed to a theoretical features that "may or may not be provided" in the system of Joao.


Where Joao is more concerned with disabling the vehicle upon unauthorized use, the instant invention aims to provide a real-time signal to a remote computer, be it a security station or a home computer.

In these and other respects, as reflected in the claims, the system of the present is believed to be patentably distinct from the published application. In view of the above, re-consideration of the rejection and allowance of Claims 1 – 19 is respectfully requested.

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING	
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as First Class mail in an envelope addressed to:	
Commissioner of Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450	
 Debra Hom	 Date

Respectfully submitted,

Keaty Professional Law Corporation


Thomas S. Keaty
Registration No. 27,038
Suite 2140 World Trade Center
#2 Canal Street
New Orleans, Louisiana 70130
(504) 524-2100
Attorney for Applicant